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ABSTRACT
Measures of effect size are recommended to communicate information on the strength of relationships. Such information supplements the reject/fail-to-reject decision obtained in statistical hypothesis testing. Because sample effect sizes are subject to sampling error, as is any sample statistic, computing confidence intervals for these statistics is a useful strategy to represent the magnitude of uncertainty about the corresponding population effect sizes. This paper provides a SAS macro that uses bootstrapping to compute confidence intervals for an effect size associated with mediation analysis models. Using SAS/IML, the macro produces point and interval estimates of an R-squared effect size that represents the proportion of variance accounted for by the mediated effect. This paper provides the macro programming language, as well as an example of the macro call and output. Finally, the results from a simulation study investigating the accuracy and precision of the estimates are presented.

Keywords: EFFECT SIZES, MEDIATION ANALYSIS, CONFIDENCE INTERVALS, SAS/IML®

INTRODUCTION
Gone are the days when social and behavioral science researchers should simply report obtained test statistics (e.g., $t$, $F$, $\chi^2$) and their corresponding $p$-values. Over the years, interpreting the importance of scientific research based on the dichotomous reject or fail-to-reject decision resulting from hypothesis tests has become less popular among some disciplines such as psychology and education. Instead, researchers are encouraged to supplement hypothesis test results with measures of effect magnitude. In fact, according to Thompson (2007), 24 peer-reviewed journals had explicit editorial policies that required authors to include effect sizes or other measures of effect magnitude. A large part of this change began over a decade ago when the APA Task Force on Statistical Inference issued their statement that researchers should regularly report effect sizes, calculate confidence intervals, and use graphics to better communicate the nature of their findings for all primary outcomes (Wilkinson & APA Task Force on Statistical Inference, 1999). Unlike $p$-values that are used to determine if an observed effect or relationship may be accounted for by sampling error alone, effect sizes are used to estimate how large the effect or relationship is. Thus, when used together, not only can researchers make statements about the statistical significance of their findings, they also can report on the practical significance of their findings.

More recently, the scholarly discussion around effect sizes has evolved to also include recommendations and formulas for calculating and reporting confidence intervals around effect sizes (Cumming & Finch, 2001; Fidler & Thompson, 2001; Finch & French, 2010; Smithson, 2001; Thompson, 2002). The use of confidence intervals around effect sizes is particularly fruitful for meta-analytic research. For example, just as a confidence interval calculated around a sample mean can generate plausible values for the population mean, a confidence interval calculated around a sample effect size such as Cohen's $d$, $r$, or $\eta^2$ can describe plausible values for the population effect size.

Effect sizes for mediation analysis have been under investigation for many years. A promising approach was suggested by Fairchild, MacKinnon, Taborga, and Taylor (2009) that constructs an $R^2$ type of statistic to represent the proportion of variance accounted for by the mediated effect.

MEDIATION MODELS
The simplest mediation models require information on three variables: an independent variable, a dependent variable, and a mediating variable (see Figure 1). The role of the mediating variable is to transmit some or all of the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable. Mediation models have gained importance in recent years as researchers investigate hypothesized causal mechanisms for observed effects.
The parameter estimates needed to obtain the estimated mediated effect in this model are obtained from two standardized regression equations (MacKinnon & Dwyer, 1993):

\[
\hat{M} = aX \\
\hat{Y} = bM + cX
\]

The coefficient \(c\) represents the direct effect of \(X\) on \(Y\) while controlling for \(M\), and \(b\) represents the effect of \(M\) on \(Y\) while controlling for \(X\). Finally, \(a\) is the effect of \(X\) on \(M\). The total effect of \(X\) on \(Y\) is the sum of the direct effect (\(c\)) and the indirect, or mediated, effect (\(ab\)).

**Figure 1. Simple mediation model with variables \(X = \) independent, \(Y = \) dependent, and \(M = \) mediating**

**EFFECT SIZES SUGGESTED FOR MEDIATION MODELS**

Attempts to develop interpretable indices of effect size for mediation models have a history spanning several decades. Sobel (1982) suggested the use of ratios constructed from the indirect effect, direct effect, and total effect. For example, the ratio of the indirect effect to the total effect (\(ab/(ab+c)\)) is interpreted as the proportion of the total effect that is mediated. Hayes (2009) criticized this index because it is not bounded and because it can be negative (unlike proportions). Further, as the total effect (\(ab + c\)) approaches zero, this index rapidly approaches infinity. Taking the absolute values of both \(ab\) and \(c\) has been suggested as a variation of this index (Alwin & Hauser, 1975), but little work has been pursued in this direction (MacKinnon, Fairchild, & Fritz, 2007). A similar index is the ratio of the indirect effect to the direct effect (\(ab/c\)) but this index has the same limitations as the proportion mediated. MacKinnon, Warsi, and Dwyer (1995) investigated the sampling properties of these effect sizes for mediation using Monte Carlo methods. Their results suggested that sample sizes of at least 500 were needed for both point estimates and estimates of the sampling variability of the proportion mediated, and sample sizes of at least 2,000 were needed in some cases for the accurate estimation of the ratio of the indirect to the direct effect.

In addition to these ratio indices, effect size indices represented by partial \(r^2\) values and standardized regression slopes have been suggested. These indices, however, are inherently unsatisfactory because they represent only components of the mediation model rather than the model as an entirety (Fairchild, MacKinnon, Taborga, & Taylor, 2009).

Fairchild et al. (2009) suggested an effect size based on proportions of variance obtained from the multiple regression of \(Y\) on \(X\) and \(M\), and related bivariate correlations:

\[
R^2_{med} = r^2_{MY} - \left( R^2_{YM} - r^2_{MX} \right)
\]

Using simulation methods, these authors demonstrated that this effect size estimate is an unbiased and consistent estimator of the corresponding population parameter. However, methods for the estimation of confidence intervals for this effect size were not provided. The SAS macro, CI_MEDIATE, provides a simple method for researchers to obtain these intervals.
MACRO CI_MEDIATE

The macro CI_MEDIATE uses bootstrap sampling (that is, sampling with replacement from the original, observed set of observations) to estimate confidence intervals for the effect size of the mediated effect. Arguments to the macro include the name of the SAS data set that contains the sample of observations; the names of the variables in the SAS data set corresponding to the independent, dependent, and moderating variables; the number of bootstrap samples to generate; and the level of confidence required for the intervals. The macro output is a simple table that provides the sample estimate of the effect size and the endpoints of the requested confidence interval. The macro is written in SAS/IML to provide rapid execution. The macro CI_MEDIATE was developed based on an earlier macro (Preacher & Hayes, 2004) that provided bootstrap confidence intervals for the indirect effect in mediation models. CI_MEDIATE provides confidence intervals for the effect size rather than the indirect effect itself and incorporates a more efficient bootstrap algorithm based on the RANK function in PROC IML.

Users should first execute the macro command set that is provided below or reference the macro from an external file with the %include command. This will activate a command called %CI_MEDIATE with syntax:

```sas
%macro CI_MEDIATE(dataset=_last_, y=dv, x=iv, m=mv, nboot=5000, C_I = 95);
```

where "_last_" is the name of an SAS dataset containing the data to be analyzed. If users don’t specify the dataset name, this macro will automatically use the data set that was most recently created. "dv" is the name of the dependent variable in the dataset, "iv" is the name of the independent variable, and "mv" is the name of mediator. This macro is set up to run 5000 bootstrap samples as a default but users can specify the number of bootstrap samples by changing the number that follows the "nboot=" option. If the specified number of bootstrap samples is less than 1000, the macro will increase it to 1000. The default confidence interval is set up at 95%. In order to change the desired confidence interval, users will change the number that follows the "C_I=" option. This number can be any value between 1 and 99 to provide levels of confidence from 1% to 99%. If the input number is greater than 99 or smaller than 1, the macro will set up the level of confidence for the confidence interval to be 95%.

The macro will delete all cases from the analysis that are missing any of the three variables, where missing is defined as the default SAS missing value for numeric variables ("."). The macro also checks the correlation matrix of the independent, dependent, and mediating variables. If this matrix is singular, the macro will exit and provide users a message informing them about the singular matrix problem.

The SAS code for Macro is provided as the following.

```sas
%macro CI_MEDIATE(dataset=_last_, y=dv, x=iv, m=mv, nboot=5000, C_I = 95);
Title " ";
Proc iml;
/* Read SAS dataset into the matrix DATA */
use &dataset where (&y ^= . & &x ^= . & &m ^= .);
read all var {&y &x &m} into data;

/* Compute the sample size */
 n=nrow(data);

/* Initialize vector BOOT_RES to hold bootstrap values */
 btn = &nboot;
 if btn < 1000 then btn = 1000; /* minimum number of bootstrap samples */
 bootstrap=rank(btn, 1, 0);

/* Initialize matrix BOOT_DATA to hold bootstrap sample */
 boot_data = data;

/* Initialize indicator of singular correlation matrix */
 sample_rii_singular = 0;

/* Begin loop for bootstrap sampling */
do btni = 1 to btn;
  if (btni > 1) then do;
```
do ni = 1 to n;
        v = int(uniform(0)*n)+1;
        boot_data[ni,1:3]=data[v,1:3];
    end;

    /* Reset the effect size for each loop*/
    effect_size = 0;

    /* Compute sample correlation matrix */
    xt=boot_data-j(n,1)*boot_data[:,;];
    cv=(xt'&xt)/(n-1);
    sd=sqrt(diag(cv));
    detsd=det(sd);

    /* If all variances are greater than zero proceed with calculation */
    if detsd ^= 0 then do;
        r=inv(sd)*cv*inv(sd);
        rii = r[2:3,2:3];
        ryi = r[2:3,1];
        detrii = det(rii);

        /* If R matrix is non-singular, compute effect size */
        if detrii ^= 0 then do;
            coefi = inv(rii)*ryi;
            Rsquare = coefi`*ryi;
            rmy = r[3,1];
            rxy = r[2,1];
            effect_size = rmy##2 - rsquare + rxy##2;

            /* If bootstrap R matrix is singular, draw another sample */
            else if btni > 1 then btni = btni - 1;

            /* If observed R matrix is singular, exit */
            else do;
                btni = btn;
                sample_rii_singular = 1;
            end;
        end;
        /* End if bootstrap R matrix is singular, draw another sample */
        else if btni > 1 then btni = btni - 1;

    /* End loop for bootstrap sampling */
    end;

    /* If sample matrix was singular print message and exit */
    if sample_rii_singular = 1 then do;
        file print;
        put @35 "Correlation Error!!";
        put @30 ""//$
            @30 "The variables in the sample data are linearly dependent."/
            @30 "The effect size and confidence interval cannot be estimated.";/
      end;
end;

/* If sample matrix was non-singular then proceed */
else do;
    Seffect_size = boot_res[1,1];
    c_i = &c_i;

    /* Reset level of confidence if out of range */
    if c_i > 99 | c_i < 1 then c_i = 95;

    /* Find interval endpoints */
    c_i_s = (100-c_i)/200;
    pctLO = round(btn#c_i_s);
    pctHI = round(btn#(1-c_i_s));
    r_vec = rank(boot_res);
    do btni = 1 to btn;
        if r_vec[btni] = pctLO then low_limit = boot_res[btni,1];
        if r_vec[btni] = pctHI then high_limit = boot_res[btni,1];
    end;

    /* Print table with results */
    file print;
    put @35 "Effect Size Measures for Mediation Analysis "///;
    put @35 "Independent Variable: " @75 "&x "/;
    put @30 "Dependent variable: " @75 "&y "/;
    put @30 "Mediator variable: " @75 "&m "///;
    put @30 "Sample Size: " @78 n 6. /;
    put @30 "Confidence Level: " @75 c_i /;
    put @30 "Number of Bootstrap Samples: " @78 btn 6. /;
    put @30 "Sample Effect Size: " @78 Seffect_size 6.4 /;
    put @30 "Lower Limit: " @78 low_limit 6.4 /;
    put @30 "Upper Limit: " @78 high_limit 6.4 /;
end;
quit;
%MEND CI_MEDIATE;

EXAMPLE OF MACRO CI_MEDIATE

An example of a call to the macro in a SAS job stream and the resulting output is provided in this section. The following data step creates a SAS data set called 'example' containing 12 observations with a single predictor variable (predictor), a mediating variable (mediator), and an outcome variable (outcome).

data example;
    input predictor mediator outcome;
    cards;
    1 2 3
    2 1 2
    3 3 1
    4 5 4
    5 4 8
    6 9 9
end;
To estimate the effect size associated with the mediation analysis and to compute a confidence interval around the effect size. The following statement will execute the macro:

\%CI\_MEDIATE (dataset = example, y = outcome, x = predictor, nboot = 10000, m = mediator, c\_i = 99);

The macro arguments provide the name of the SAS data set containing the data (example) and the SAS names of the predictor, mediator, and outcome variables. In this example, it was not necessary to specify the name of the SAS data set because the default value (_LAST_) would have referenced the data set example. The number of bootstrap samples, called \texttt{nboot}, is set to ten thousand in this example. A 99\% confidence interval, called \texttt{c\_i}, is calculated around the effect size of the example.

Figure 2 shows the output from CI\_MEDIATE for the example data. The names of the variables analyzed (labeled Independent Variable, Dependent Variable, and Mediator Variable) are provided on the output page (predictor, outcome, and mediator, respectively). The number of observations in the sample is reported, followed by the requested level of confidence and the number of bootstrap samples used in the estimation of the confidence interval. The sample effect size associated with the mediation analysis of the example data, -.0105, indicates that only approximately 1\% of the variance in the outcome is attributable to the indirect effect of the predictor through the mediator. The lower and upper limits of the 99\% confidence interval (-1.479 and 0.6458, respectively) indicate that the researcher may be 99\% confident that the population effect size for the mediation effect is between these two values. The relatively broad confidence interval results from having only 12 observations in the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect Size Measures for Mediation Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent Variable:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediator variable:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Level:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Bootstrap Samples:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Effect Size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textit{Figure 2. Output from CI\_MEDIATE}

\textbf{SIMULATION WORK}

To investigate the accuracy and precision of the effect size index and the bootstrap confidence intervals, Monte Carlo methods were used in a study conducted Baek, Petit-Bois, Pham, and Kromrey (2012). The use of simulation methods allowed for the control and manipulation of research design factors and the incorporation of sampling error into the analyses. Population correlation matrices providing population effect sizes ranging from -.72 to .77 were investigated and sample sizes ranging from 50 to 500 were simulated. For each sample generated, the sample
estimate of effect size was calculated and a percentile bootstrap was used to estimate the endpoints of a 95% confidence interval (Efron & Gong, 1983; Efron & Tibshirani, 1986; Stine, 1990). The outcomes examined included the statistical bias and RMSE of the point estimates of effect size, and the estimated coverage probabilities and interval widths of the bootstrap confidence intervals. For each condition examined in the Monte Carlo study, 5,000 samples were simulated. Study results indicated that the point estimates of effect size present very little statistical bias across the conditions examined and the interval estimates maintain near nominal coverage probabilities, although both indices improve with larger samples (see Figures 3 and 4). Interval widths and RMSE, however, suggest that substantial sampling error is evident with the smallest samples (see Figures 5 and 6).

**Figure 3.** Distributions of Estimated Bias in Point Estimates of Effect Size

**Figure 4.** Distributions of Estimated Confidence Interval Coverage
Figure 5. Distributions of Estimated RMSE in Point Estimates of Effect Size

Figure 6. Distributions of Estimated Confidence Interval Widths
CONCLUSION

Effect sizes are used to describe the strength of a relationship. When researchers use effect sizes together with the results of hypothesis tests, they can make statements about the statistical significance as well as the practical significance of their findings. Effect sizes for mediation analysis have been investigated for many years. Fairchild et al. (2009) suggested an approach to estimate the effect sizes for mediation analysis and presented a SAS macro to estimate these effect sizes. However, a method to estimate confidence intervals for these effect sizes was not developed. The CI_MEDIATE macro provides a helpful tool for researchers to estimate confidence intervals for the effect size of the mediated effect. Simulation research suggests that the intervals provide near nominal coverage across a broad range of effect sizes and sample sizes. The macro may be modified easily to provide additional information. For example, the set of effect sizes from the bootstrap samples may be output for further analysis or for graphical display.
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